Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/22: CIA-RDP91-00561R000100040037-7 $\mathsf{STAT}_{\mathcal{V}}$

> ARTICLE APPEAREL ON PAGE

NEW YORK TIMES 5 MAY 1982

Letters

'Lie Detector' Given to Telling Lies

To the Editor: I am moved by the plight of John C. F. Tillsen, who may lose his job at the Pentagon because some sensitive information was leaked to the press (news story April 26). Mr. Tillson says he was not the source of the leak, and several senior people who are in a position to know the truth agree with him.

Why then is he in trouble? Largely -. because of a polygraph test, and that's ing a hair. what this letter is about.

called a lie detector. The reason it acareer or a life, I believe the use of the shouldn't be called that is that no one polygraph for this purpose should be knows for sure what its reliability is in made illegal. As a first step, I suggest detecting lies, and there is no way to that The Times stop calling it a lie defind out, especially in a particular tector, because to do so gives the poly-

The physiological variables that the ROBERT E. NYE JR., M.D. polygraph records, such as pulse rate, Norwich, Vt., April 27, 1982

, respiratory frequency, skin conductivity, are altered by many stresses other than guilt over telling lies; fear, anger, embarrassment or even guilt about some event totally unrelated to what is in the questioner's mind.

That's where the false positive tests come from. False negative tests occur when pathological liars breeze through a string of lies without turn-

Because it is so unreliable and be-The polygraph is often erroneously cause a false positive test can blast a graph undeserved legitimacy.